

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/552,986	BREKKE, TOR	

Examiner	Art Unit	
CASSEY D. BAUER	3784	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non-finally rejected

(1) CASSEY D. BAUER.

(3) ____.

(2) John Haran.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 July 2011

Time: Approx 11AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18 and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

Liberman and Birdseye

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/CASSEY D BAUER/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3784

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called the attorney to discuss adding language from dependent claims 22 or 30 which were deemed to contain allowable subject matter into the independent claims as an examiner's amendment which would put the application in condition for allowance. .